

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,536	07/16/2003	Jean-Marc Vandoorne-Feys	BOCK-04/119	8449
26875	7590 02/24/2005		EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			O MALLEY, KATHRYN S	
2700 CAREW 441 VINE ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI	, OH 45202		3749	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(1)
	10/620,536	VANDOORNE-FEYS,	JEAN-MARC
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kathryn S. O'Malley	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replefied if NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  ( (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 C	October 2003		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		are prosecution as to the me	arite ie
closed in accordance with the practice under the	•	•	יפו פוווג
closed in accordance with the practice under i	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D.	. 11, 455 O.G. 215.	
Disposition of Claims		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	.,	er to the constraint	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	the state of the s	entropia e la companio de la compan La companio de la co La companio de la co	• •
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2003 is/are: a)		ted to by the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	, , ,	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	121/4\
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, ,,	•	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	v.	•	
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap nity documents have been	oplication No	ge
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	±12		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/03.</li> </ol>		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)
	· ·	_	

Application/Control Number: 10/620,536 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,778,703 to Imai et al.
- 3. Imai et al. teaches a dryer with a rotary drum 4 with a drive comprising a motor 17, wherein at least one embodiment of the dryer has at least 30 poles. Note column 6, lines 42-46; column 14, lines 38-41; and Figure 2.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,207,764 to Akabane et al.
- 5. Akabane et al. teaches a dryer with rotary drum 12 driven by motor 13 wherein drum 12 is coupled to motor 13 via a single transmission belt 37, and the drum can be rotated at speeds as low as 50 revolutions per minute. Note column 10, lines 26-44; column 14, lines 7-10; and Figure 2.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,536

Art Unit: 3749

7. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imai et al. as applied to claim 1 above.

Page 3

- 8. The dryer of Imai et al. does not require a transmission. However, Imai et al. teaches that the use of a single belt transmission with a reducing mechanism to couple a motor with a rotatable drum is well known in the art. Note column 1, lines 24-27. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the motor with at least ten poles taught by Imai et al. to drive a dryer having a single, reducing transmission that couples the motor to a rotatable drum.
- 9. Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imai et al. as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Akabane et al. as applied to claim 9 above.
- 10. Imai et al. does not suggest coupling a motor to a rotatable drum via a direct transmission. Belt transmission 37 of Akabane et al. provides direct transmission between drum 12 and motor 13. As the direct transmission of Akabane et al. requires less parts, such as no reducing mechanism, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the transmission with reducing mechanism taught by Imai et al. with the direct transmission taught by Akabane et al.
- 11. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imai et al. as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of US Patent 6,531,801 to Blazek et al.
- 12. Imai et al. does not define his motor to be asynchronous. However, as Blazek et al. teaches than asynchronous motors are conventionally used to rotate the drum of a

Application/Control Number: 10/620,536 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

clothes machine (note column 2, lines 9-23), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an asynchronous motor in the machine of Imai et al.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn S. O'Malley whose telephone number is (571)272-4879. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**KSO** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700